TOWN OF Herndon VIRGINIA

This does not represent a verbatim transcript of the Town Council meeting. Visit www.herndon-va.gov "agendas & minutes" to view agendas and videos. Copies of videotapes of the public hearing meetings are available on request by contacting HCTV at 703-689-2323. Work sessions are not videotaped, but audio files are available. Individuals not having access to the town's website may contact the Town Clerk's office at 703-435-6804 or town.clerk@herndon-va.gov.

HERNDON TOWN COUNCIL
Work Session
Tuesday, March 19, 2019

At a regular meeting of the Town Council of the Town of Herndon, Virginia, held in the Herndon Council Chambers Building, at 765 Lynn Street, Herndon, Virginia, on Tuesday, March 19, 2019, at 7:00 p.m., there were present:

- Mayor Lisa C. Merkel, presiding;
- Vice Mayor Sheila A. Olem;
- Councilmember Jennifer K. Baker;
- Councilmember Cesar del Aguila;
- Councilmember Pradip Dhakal;
- Councilmember Signe Friedrichs; and
- Councilmember Bill McKenna.

Staff present during the meeting: William H. Ashton II, Town Manager; Lesa Yeatts, Town Attorney; Viki Wellershaus, Town Clerk; Anne Curtis, Chief Communications Officer; Elizabeth Gilleran, Director of Community Development; Page Kalapasev, Director of Information Technology; Cindy Roeder, Director of Parks and Recreation; Bryce Perry, Deputy Director of Community Development; Tammy Chastain and John Irish, Deputy Directors of Public Works; Maggie DeBoard, Chief, Herndon Police; John Cassella, Special Projects and Budget Manager; Dennis Holste, Economic Development Manager; Mike Farr, Utility Manager; Dave Bracamonte, Information Technology; David Stromberg, Zoning Administrator; Officer Rick Musgrove, Herndon Police; and Amina Ait-Bella, Legislative Assistant.

March 19, 2019
(work session)

PRESENTATIONS

1. **Proclamation, to recognize "Vietnam Veterans Day," March 29, 2019;**

2. **Proclamation, to recognize the 40th Anniversary of the Herndon Community Center, March 25, 2019;**

3. **Proclamation, to recognize "National Volunteer Week," April 7 – April 13, 2019;**

4. **Proclamation, to recognize "Local Government Education Week," April 1 – April 7, 2019; and**

5. **Proclamation, to recognize "Earth Day/Arbor Day," March 31, 2019.**

There was no discussion on the presentations, which are scheduled for the March 26, 2019 public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

6. **Ordinance, to grant a first franchise amendment to Level 3 Communications of Virginia, Inc.**

Mayor Merkel stated that the town had received one bid, which would be entered into the record at the March 26 public hearing. She stated the council would follow the bid process and action on the proposed ordinance would be by roll call vote.

The Deputy Director of Public Works presented the staff report dated March 19, which is on file in the Town Clerk's office. Staff recommends approval of the proposed ordinance, as presented.

There was a brief discussion among council and staff.

7. **Ordinance, to consider Development Plan DP #17-01, an application for a Herndon Transit-Oriented Core Development Plan w/proffered conditions and to allow a mixed-use development, located at 555 Herndon Parkway.**

Mayor Merkel stated that comments received on the proposed application have been entered into the record.

The Deputy Director of Community Development presented the staff report dated March 19, which is on file in the Town Clerk's office. This application is for a Herndon Transit-Oriented Core (HTOC) Development Plan with proffered

conditions to allow a mixed-use development up to a 4.3 FAR ratio. The parcel contains 4.3439 acres. The plan proposes a three-phase development with two tower buildings and one mid-rise building collectively comprised of residential, office and retail use. He described the location of the development.

The Deputy Director of Community Development stated that this is one of nine properties within the HTOC, the first to be seeking a development plan. The area was part of a master plan as part of the Metrorail area study and the HTOC plan. He stated that when reviewing plans, staff first looked at the regulation, under the zoning ordinance and specifically Section 78-50.8, which allows up to 4.3 FAR for a development plan and specific standards. Development plans are guided by the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. He stated that the review of HTOC plan follows a specific process, which he outlined.

The Planning Commission held public hearings on this application on January 28 and February 25, 2019. At its February 25 public hearing, the Planning Commissioner recommended approval of DP #17-01, with two amendments and additional guidance.

The Director of Community Development reviewed the details of the building layout. The buildings are designed to incorporate a below and above ground structured parking garage. The layout creates an urban block with private streets on each side and a bisecting street and plaza area. She stated that in the future as other parcels develop, they would be sharing the same side streets. She stated that this would include the entrance to a part of the proposed development.

The Deputy Director of Community Development stated that there is flexibility in the usage of square footage in the development plan. He described the streetscape requirements. In conclusion, he stated that staff is preparing a draft ordinance for inclusion in next week's TCPH agenda. Staff recommends approval of the application.

There was a brief discussion among Council and staff on this item.

In response to an inquiry from Councilmember Friedrichs, the Director of Community Development described the prior reasoning to the 65-foot distance between buildings requirement. She stated that the applicant provided a comparison to other jurisdictions. Staff would recommend allowing a special exception to decreasing the distance to 55 feet for the development plan.

In response to inquiries from Mayor Merkel and Councilmember Baker, the Director of Community Development discussed the approval with the proffers and modifications. She stated that the modification had been previously part of the advertisements, reviewed by the Zoning Administrator.

In response to an inquiry from Vice Mayor Olem, the Director of Community Development stated that the development timeline, density, and usage is market driven. She stated that flexibility in the usage allows for market demand.

Councilmember del Aguila asked for clarification on the term market-driven in the application.

The Director of Community Development stated that there is nothing in the proffers that would keep the residential units from being condos rather than apartments.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that there is a shortage of housing in the county. He asked if there was a targeted range for this.

The Director of Community Development stated that would be a question for the developers at the public hearing. She stated that land use matters normally do not address final cost of the product.

There was discussion between Councilmember del Aguila and the Director of Community Development about the size and cost of residential and business units.

There was further discussion among council and staff.

Councilmember Baker stated that there was a minor spelling error in the resolution. She asked how much influence and flexibility the town would have in the details of the project, such as the retail to residential ratio.

The Director of Community Development stated that there is significant portion of retail visibility.

The Zoning Administrator stated that proffers will allow for review of composition of residential and retail. There is room for fluctuation, which is based on demand and range.

There was discussion among council and staff regarding parking in the development area.

Councilmember Friedrichs thanked the Deputy Director of Community Development for defining acronyms in the staff report.

Mayor Merkel stated that she believed council was excited about the development. She stated that that staff has been working on it for a long time, but it did not have to be approved next week. She stated that council should take time to understand what they would be approving. She stated that any questions council may have should be sent to the Town Manager's office.

RECESS

At 8:01 pm, Mayor Merkel announced that she just learned of a family emergency and she had to leave. The meeting resumed shortly thereafter with all members being present, with the exception of Mayor Merkel, and with Vice Mayor Olem presiding.

8. Ordinance, to amend Chapter 63 (SOLID WASTE), Sections 63-2, 63-4, 63-5, 63-8, & 63-9, regarding definitions, general requirements & responsibilities of owners, residential collection times & placement, schedule of fees for recyclables, special collection, & violations.

The Deputy Director of Public Works presented the staff report and PowerPoint dated March 19, which are on file in the Town Clerk's office. The proposed ordinance recommends fee and procedure changes, and clarifications to definitions regarding requirements and restrictions relating to solid waste, including recycling.

She briefly outlined major changes, including:

- A dedicated website with a searchable, interactive map;
- A revised collection time from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m. during summer hours;
- A revised definition for automated/semi-automated collection carts/ containers;
- A definition of a Material Recovery Facility (MRF) and transfer station;
- The requirements for residential storage and maintenance;
- The use of the town's Streetscape Manual for guidance on style, number, and placement of containers for commercial establishments;
- A clarification of maximum weight restrictions for special collections;
- The removal of rental containers and roll-offs provided for community cleanup events; and
- Additional increases to Sec. 63-8 - Schedule of fees.

The Deputy Director of Public Works reviewed the history of recycling associated fees in the town. The recycling fee was last increased in 2010 from $2.50 per quarter to $4.00 per quarter. Due to the nature of market fluctuations in the recycling industry, the town no longer received as high of a return on the tonnage

of recyclable materials. The current fee offsets 20 percent of the costs of recycling, and the proposed fee would offset 40 percent.

Staff recommends approval of the proposed ordinance, as presented.

There was a brief discussion among council and staff on this item.

In response to an inquiry from Councilmember Friedrichs, the Deputy Director of Public Works described the volatile nature of the recycling market and the varying costs. She discussed the international market of recycling. She stated that there are approximately 6,100 households in the town that have recycling collected. She compared the town's rates to nearby jurisdictions ~ the town had the lowest recycling rate in the state.

Councilmember Friedrichs asked if the town paid a solid waste fee.

Councilmember del Aguila asked why the town had not increased the rate since 2010. He stated that since the town was already behind the curve, the town should increase to cover the costs all at once.

The Town Manager stated that the leadership change in the Department of Public Works, and the water and sewer rate changes, delayed staff finding the issues with the recycling fees.

Councilmember Baker asked if the rate changes had been "socialized" yet, after receiving the town's informational letter in the mail.

The Town Manager stated that it would be an ordinance change, so it would be advertised when the number was finalized.

There was further discussion among council and staff about the fee schedule.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that she received an email from a citizen about receiving a new recycling can. The Deputy Director of Public Works stated that there would be no new cans.

9. **Resolution, to consider Special Exception SE #19-03, 281 Sunset Park Drive, to allow a fitness facility; Applicant: Kavitha Maddi & Yogender Rakasi; Koko FitClub Herndon; Property Owner: Skyvish Square, LLC.**

The Zoning Administrator presented the staff report and PowerPoint dated March 19, which are on file in the Town Clerk's office. The proposed application is for a special exception to permit a fitness facility in the Sunset Business Park. The site is zoned PD-B, Planned Development – Business. As with all planned development zoning districts, this site is governed by proffers. One of the

proffers requires that any exercise or recreation facility be approved for a special exception prior to being permitted to operate.

During the Planning Commission's February 11 work session and February 25, 2019 public hearing, the Commissioners did not raise any concerns and recommended approval, with the conditions proposed by staff. Staff recommends approval of SE #19-03, as presented.

There was brief discussion among Council and staff on this item.

Councilmember Friedrichs asked about an adjacent business and the parking limitations associated.

The Zoning Administrator discussed the parking limitations and requirements of the zoning ordinance.

Vice Mayor Olem asked if the town had received feedback from other businesses.

The Zoning Administrator stated that staff had not received feedback. The business park is under condo ownership, rather than under one ownership. The condo association manager had also not provided staff any feedback.

GENERAL

10. Resolution, to amend the Parks and Recreation Fees - Special Facilities Use and Facility Rental Fees.

The Director of Parks and Recreation presented the staff report dated March 19, which is on file in the Town Clerk's office. The Parks and Recreation Department provides for community-use facilities at the Herndon Community Center. In April 2006, the full fee schedule for Parks and Recreation facilities was adopted to include a pricing structure for community center admission fees and passes based on the Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA) rates. Comprehensive fee changes had not occurred since 2011. During the Department's review of current services and operational costs, staff proposes that the structure be revised to eliminate the connection to FCPA due to the detrimental impact to a large percentage of Herndon Community Center users.

For FY20, staff recommends the following additions and revisions to its fee schedule for community use facilities:

- Eliminate the tie to the Fairfax County Park Authority fee structure and base all fees on a daily resident/non-resident fee effective September 1, 2019;

- Increase daily resident per visit pass by 50 cents;

- Continue the structure of the pass categories;
- Increase hourly fees to room rentals; and
- Continue the group admission discount.

Staff recommends approval of the proposed resolution, as presented.

There was brief discussion among Council and staff on this item.

Councilmember Dhakal inquired about the details of a daily visit pass and the multi-day pass structure.

Councilmember Friedrichs inquired about the scholarship program. She asked if there would be any impact to the program with changes to the fees.

The Director of Parks and Recreation stated that scholarships and fee waiver program does not apply to daily pass admissions, only to classes.

There was discussion between Council and staff on expanding the scholarship and fee waiver program to include daily admission passes.

Councilmember del Aguila asked why the town had not raised fees.

The Director of Parks and Recreation stated that the town was trying to avoid being the most expensive on the market. She described the different amenities the Community Center offers compared to the pricing and amenities offered through other community centers and private gyms.

There was discussion among Council and staff about the proportion of rates between resident and non-resident members.

Councilmember McKenna asked about dependent and non-dependent youth rates.

Councilmember Baker requested staff to add language to clarify the difference.

The Director of Parks and Recreation responded to inquiries from Councilmember Dhakal about childcare services offered.

CONSENT AGENDA

11. Resolution, to consider authorization to submit Creative Communities Partnership Grant to the Virginia Commission for the Arts (formerly the Local Government Challenge Grant).

There were no comments on the Consent agenda.

DISCUSSION

12. FY 2020 Budget.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that comments received on the proposed FY20 budget have been entered into the record.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that this item was previously discussed at the March 5 work session. She recognized the Town Manager to begin the discussion.

The Town Manager reviewed the budget discussion items at the previous March 5 work session. This included a one percent raise to the meals tax to cover a revenue shortfall from the BPOL taxes. He reviewed the budget spreadsheet of needs that would be met. He reviewed the unfunded items and discussed those items would not be funded in FY20. He stated that the spreadsheets have been sent to the printer, and anything Council added would be an amendment to the budget. He opened to the Council for discussion.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated that she was under the impression that balanced budget did not include the one cent increase to the meals tax.

The Town Manager stated that due to the BPOL loss, the budget would balanced with the increase to the meals tax and would avoid using reserve funding. He discussed the need for capital funding and reviewed the projects that would be funded with the meals tax increase to continue the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

Councilmember Friedrichs asked if staff had followed up with the entities that were causing the BPOL loss.

The Town Manager stated that staff is working with the businesses.

There was further discussion among council and staff about economic projections.

The Town Manager stated discussed the priorities of the budget. Priorities included a request for a Deputy Town Attorney and that the Chief of Police was flexible and creative about staffing the police force and improving operations.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that he met with PBAC. He was surprised at the number of tier one projects that were unfunded. He stated that they wanted to be a walkable community but there were areas in town that were unsafe for pedestrians. He proposed a 1.5 percent increase to the meals tax to fund additional priorities to improve safety for critical projects.

There was discussion among Council and staff about designating additional funding for projects and capital improvements.

Councilmember McKenna stated that the workload was growing in the town. He stated his support for additional support in the Town Attorney's office. He looked forward to the mid-year budget review to assess meals tax collection. He stated his support for an auditor in the town for this purpose.

The Town Manager stated that the county supported that, and the current model is based on a Loudon County model. The Virginia state enabling law was specific to Loudoun County, so the town needs to add it to the legislative program. The town is still a year from allowing that to be in effect.

The Town Attorney stated that the town would be in that position anyway, but preparation would allow the town to be completely set up to roll out when it would be approved by the state.

In response to the Town Manager's inquiry, the Town Attorney stated that there would a 50 percent net savings if there were a Deputy Town Attorney.

In response to an inquiry from Councilmember McKenna, the Town Manager stated that electronic payment of bill would be part of the last phase of the new Munis software.

In response to a request from the Town Manager, Councilmember del Aguila stated that he would work with PBAC to provide a number for planning purposes.

There was discussion between Councilmember Friedrichs and the Director of Parks and Recreation about the funding for recreation programs.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated that she would like to keep the funding of the $30,000 donation for the organization "All Ages Read Together."

Vice Mayor Olem and Councilmember Baker stated that they did not agree.

There was discussion among Council about the donations.

Vice Mayor Baker stated that the Council needed a general idea of what would go on the list of priorities to fund with an additional half penny raise of the meals tax. The Town Manager confirmed and stated that the Council can tax lower than the advertised rate.

Councilmember Baker asked if the rates had been advertised yet.

The Town Manager stated that they have not. He stated that the list would be publicized the following morning on Wednesday, March 20.

Councilmember Baker clarified that the meals tax rate would go from 2.5 percent to advertised as four percent.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that it was better to position something for one point and end up at a better point.

Councilmember Baker stated that they knew that.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that he did not think it was that big of a discussion and to "just do it."

Councilmember Baker stated this is a discussion in which the Council needed to discuss to make a decision.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that he was not being disrespectful.

Councilmember Baker stated that Councilmember del Aguila was being disrespectful. She stated that this was a discussion she was having with staff, and that it was worthy of the question and she wanted to clarify. She stated that the expectation is that he is respectful.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that what Councilmember Baker should have heard was that he did not think the item was that big of a deal and that that the Council agrees in principle that they would advertise something "up here" and over time figure out what priorities are. He stated that he was sorry that Councilmember Baker was so sensitive.

Councilmember Baker stated that that was not an apology.

The Town Manager asked how the Council could advertise the raise by a quarter of a percent. He described how the Council could sort its priorities depending on the advertised rate.

There was further discussion about the meals tax advertising.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated she agrees to advertise to raise the meals tax by 1.5 percent and assign additional funds to other priorities once approved.

Councilmember McKenna stated he anticipated public commentary. He stated he would not like to advertise that high of a percentage increase.

Vice Mayor Olem suggested a two percent increase to the meals tax.

Councilmember Dhakal asked for clarification from Councilmember McKenna of what percentage he would like to increase the meals tax by.

Councilmember McKenna suggest a 1.25 percent increase.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated that she would rather have more leniency.

Councilmember del Aguila stated that he would confirm with PBAC and that the increase should have been done a long time ago. He stated that the Town needed to prepare for what would be coming.

Vice Mayor Olem confirmed with Council that they would all be comfortable with advertising the meals tax increase at 1.5 percent.

13. Code of Ethics.

Vice Mayor Olem recognized the Town Attorney to begin the discussion on the Code of Ethics.

The Town Attorney stated that this item was previously discussed at the March 5 work session. She stated Council began discussion in February and based on the previous work session she had provided a draft of the revised code. She stated that she had not heard feedback from the Council. She invited Council to discuss the draft revised code.

Councilmember Baker asked for clarification of the edit to section 3-C. She asked if it was an edit to an existing section.

The Town Attorney stated that it was not a legal edit. She stated that she added a heading, broke the paragraph up into three sections, and added references.

There was discussion among Council and staff about clarification of when an issue is presented, to whom on staff should Council make requests.

The Town Manager stated that if a councilmember asks a staff member rather than him, he would assume it was an error of omission rather than commission.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that before and during her time on Council, she was aware that there were councilmembers taking up staff time unnecessarily. She stated that they needed to be cognizant of that.

The Town Manager stated that in that situation, he would discuss it with the councilmember.

The Town Attorney described the formatting of the draft revisions used for emphasis. She stated that she spent quite of a bit of time on the preamble, attempting to capture the essence of what the Council wanted. She stated that she looked at examples from other jurisdictions including in other states.

Councilmember Dhakal thanked the Town Attorney for her work. He asked if the integrity and respect section applied to social media use.

In response to Councilmember del Aguila's inquiry, the Town Attorney stated that it does not state it explicitly, but it does not exclude social media. Any way that Council communicates would be covered under the policy.

Councilmember del Aguila asked whether an attack by councilmember on an individual or a business would be covered in the policy.

The Town Attorney stated that the code would cover this, and she reviewed the specific statement in the code.

Councilmember del Aguila asked how it would be covered if someone were to be drunk in public.

The Town Attorney asked Councilmember del Aguila to clarify if the question was to cover a councilmember. She stated that it would be up to an individual to bring this up to Council.

Councilmember del Aguila asked if that could be brought up in a work session or a public hearing.

The Town Manager stated that it did not have to be brought up in a closed meeting.

The Town Attorney stated that there is no exemption for that. She stated that any time a councilmember wanted to bring something forward to the body for discussion it was allowed under the current code. She stated that the regulations to remove another councilmember were covered under state law.

Councilmember del Aguila asked if it applied to all councilmembers.

Councilmember Signe Friedrichs stated that there had been an unfortunate incident in which a member of the commissions resigned following a DUI.

The Town Attorney clarified that it could have been covered with the code of ethics. She stated that it had been an individual action on their own.

There was brief discussion among Council about that individual.

The Town Attorney stated that the process to remove someone is covered under state law.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that there is not much one can do to be removed from Council.

The Town Attorney clarified that the law was very specific. She reviewed the sections and their details.

Councilmember McKenna echoed Councilmembers Dhakal and Friedrichs concerns. He asked about accepting endorsements from political parties and if that followed the provision in the code to be "independent."

The Town Attorney stated that she tried not to add specifics to allow for future revisions from Council.

The Town Attorney stated that a separate social media policy was delayed. She stated that the Local Government Association (LGA) was working on a model ordinance in response to a situation that occurred in Loudoun County, which had not been adopted. It would be shared with all localities once finalized.

Councilmember Dhakal stated that social media is used more frequently.

Vice Mayor Olem asked Council if they wanted to adopt the revised code.

The Town Attorney stated that similar to the Constitution, the code is a document that should be used by Council.

Councilmember del Aguila asked if the code was just for this Council. The Town Attorney clarified it was in the ordinance and not the charter, so it would be for future Councils.

There was brief discussion among Council and staff.

Vice Mayor Olem asked if everyone was comfortable with the document.
Councilmember McKenna stated he was comfortable with it and asked if there would be a separate social media policy.

The Town Attorney stated that the earliest she could put it on the agenda would be the first April meetings.

There was discussion among Council and staff about advertisement, the placement on the website and the timing of meetings for the revised code.

The Town Attorney stated that other jurisdictions showcase their code differently.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated she would like to have it more public.

The Town Manager stated that it would be on the agenda for the following set of meetings and that it would be public.

Councilmember Dhakal stated that there was concern from a citizen at the March 12 public hearing that the existing code was not very public.

The Town Attorney clarified that the proposed ordinance would be scheduled as a General item for consideration by the council in April.

There was brief discussion among Council and staff.

ROUNDTABLE

1. **Councilmember Baker:** There were no comments from Councilmember Baker.

2. **Councilmember McKenna:** Provided an update on the Herndon Youth Advisory Committee (HYAC). He stated that the HYAC conducted its June meeting in accordance with Robert's Rules and is now preparing agendas. He stated that it was a good discussion.

3. **Councilmember Dhakal:** Stated that he attended three meetings recently: 1) on affordable housing; 2) a Dulles Chamber of Commerce on metro; and 3) the Herndon Chamber of Commerce committee meeting.

4. **Councilmember Friedrichs:** Stated that she attended a community meeting at the First Baptist Church in Vienna, which Councilmember del Aguila is a recent convert. She stated it was goal-oriented about affordable housing and she provided details of the group's concerns.

   Councilmember Friedrichs stated that she attended NextStop Theatre's performance "Fallen Angels."

Councilmember Friedrichs stated that she attended the Dulles Chamber of Commerce Metro Monday and she commended Vice Mayor Sheila Olem as the keynote speaker.

Councilmember Friedrichs stated that at the Herndon Chamber of Commerce committee meeting, State Senator Boysko discussed recent General Assembly actions, including the improved proffer law and money for affordable housing.

Councilmember Friedrichs congratulated ArtSpace Herndon on being recognized as one of the top three art galleries in Virginia.

5. **Councilmember del Aguila:** Stated that he had a great meeting with Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committee (PBAC) and commended the members of the committee for their commitment to a safe, walkable community.

6. **Vice Mayor Olem:** Stated she was in downtown Herndon for St. Patrick's Day and noticed that businesses were doing well that day.

Vice Mayor Olem stated that at Metro Monday, she learned that the bus surveys conducted in February were completed and Fairfax County would be conducting follow up to get more information.

Vice Mayor Olem asked the Town Manager if there was any information about the Council retreat.

The Town Manager stated that it was in progress.

COMMENTS FROM THE TOWN MANAGER

The Town Manager stated that the PowerPoint for the public hearing item, Ordinance #17-01, would be posted the following morning.

The Town Manager stated that he watched the Arlington County Board of Supervisors' recent meeting. Many anti-Amazon people attended. It became uncontrollable and there were order issues in the meeting. Following this, he had a conversation with the Chief of Police about contingency plans for Council meetings. He stated that a recent hire in the Police Department, who is a retiree from Fairfax County is SWAT trained and also trained in workplace violence, and his expertise would be used. The Town Manager stated that meetings will be held with councilmembers "two by two" to review emergency plans. He discussed the purpose of the meetings.

There was discussion among Council and staff about safety.

March 19, 2019
(work session)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:03 p.m.

Lisa C. Merkel
Lisa C. Merkel
Mayor

TOWN OF HERNDON, VIRGINIA 1879

Amina Ait-Bella
Amina Ait-Bella
Legislative Assistant

Minutes approved by Town Council: April 23, 2019.

NOTE:

This page was intentionally left blank